Inductive Power Transfer in the MHz ISM bands: Drones without batteries

Paul D. Mitcheson, S. Aldhaher, Juan M. Arteaga, G. Kkelis and D. C. Yates

EH2017, Manchester

The Concept





Challenges for Drone Charging





Dynamic system challenges:

- 1. Light weight system
- 2. High link efficiency capability independent of k
- 3. Optimal reflected load with varying k
- 4. High efficiency of the inverter and rectifier with varying k and varying power throughput





- Light weight system and high link efficiency capability independent of k
- Optimal reflected load with varying k
- High efficiency of the inverter and rectifier with varying k and varying power throughput
- Demo video
- Conclusions



Light Weight and Link Efficiency Capability



Commercial systems: Automotive and phones

Most use ferrite to enhance coupling: too heavy

Witricity EV charger

• RX ~10 kg, TX ~30 kg, 85 kHz

Qualcomm Halo

• 20 kW, 20 kg, 20kHz





Pick up

- Qi standard very short range
- Limited power levels





pickup on the

bottom of SUV





Reliance on High Q, not high k



High Frequency is Key

Efficiency given by: $\eta = \frac{k^2 Q_1 Q_2}{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + k^2 Q_1 Q_2}\right)^2}$

Secondary resonance Optimal load



- High frequency (MHz) allows high Q
- High frequency allows
 removal of ferrite
- Skin effect allows very thin conductors

Light weight and varying k capability are possible with high frequency, high Q coils



Optimal Reflected Load



Inductive Link Properties – varying R_L and varying k





- Purely real across all values of values of R_L and k with secondary resonance.
- Reflected reactance
 - Cause detuning of inverter and transmit current rapidly drops
 - Inefficient to transfer reactive power across link

Not true for parallel secondary resonance: hence we choose series compensation

Rectifier's effect on reflected load



• The previous analysis is only valid if the rectifier has resistive input impedance.





High Efficiency with Varying Kand R



Requirements to drive the link



- Poor power factor unless leakage inductances are resonated out because coupling factor typically < 10%
- Only a fraction of the applied voltage is seen at air gap voltage



 Traditional to resonate out primary inductance to reduce VA rating of drive circuit

Common misconception: poor coupling factor = poor efficiency

Inverters



- Conventional hard-switching not suitable in MHz region
 - Device switching times become comparable to driving signal period
 - Can be inefficient at higher frequencies
- Soft switching inverters (eg ZVS Class-D and Class-E) employ zerovoltage switching to minimise power dissipation
- Class-D inverters: popular with low-power systems adhering to Qi or A4WP standards
 - Lower normalised output power compared to Class-E
 - Require floating gate drive
 - But can operate over larger load range with ZVS if the switching frequency is below resonant frequency of output load network.

Class E



- Standard Class E circuit allows soft switching, and has only 1 switch, which is low side referenced. For this to be true, the load network is slightly inductive
- In this circuit, the load resistor is connected via an LC series circuit (operating slightly above the resonant frequency to present an inductive load) so that a square wave gate signal presents an almost pure sine wave voltage across the load





Class E switching waveforms





- Optimum switching operation is lost once the load shifts from its optimum value
- Voltages and current can be quite large

Load Independent Class EF Inverters



Class-EF₂ and Class-E/F₃ inverters

- Although Class-E inverters can achieve ZVS and ZCS, their voltage and current stresses can be large
- Adding series LC resonant network in parallel with MOSFET of Class-E inverter can reduce voltage and current stresses
 - Improved efficiency of inverter
 - Greater than twice the power handling
- Traditional to added network tuned to either 2nd harmonic (Class-EF₂) or 3rd harmonic (Class-E/F₃) of switching frequency
- However, tuning to around 1.5 times the resonant frequency allow load independent operation to be achieved







Load-independent Class EF inverter

Tune the network to around 1.5 times the driving frequency



ZVS operation is maintained over a wide load range

Load-independent Operation with Constant Current







It Flies! Batteries NOT included!





Conclusions



- Flying a drone via IPT is difficult because
 - Light weight
 - Rapidly varying load
 - Rapidly varying k
- Use series tuning to reflect a purely real load to the primary via use of a class D rectifier, or a class E with minimal input reactance change
- The load independent inverter can achieve zero voltage switching as k changes and as demand power changes
- The rectifier is constructed on a PCB around the size of a standard sim card
- The transmitter uses Gallium Nitride FETs to allow efficient operation

A century after Tesla – we can operate at much higher frequencies with high efficiency drive circuits and this gives us high Q, light-weight systems with low reliance on k

References



- Modeling and Analysis of Class EF and Class E/F Inverters With Series-Tuned Resonant Networks, S Aldhaher, DC Yates, PD Mitcheson, Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on 31 (5), 3415-3430
- Link efficiency-led design of mid-range inductive power transfer systems, CH Kwan, G Kkelis, S Aldhaher, J Lawson, DC Yates, PCK Luk, Emerging Technologies: Wireless Power (WoW), 2015 IEEE PELS Workshop on, 1-7
- Maximizing DC-to-load efficiency for inductive power transfer, M Pinuela, DC Yates, S Lucyszyn, PD Mitcheson, Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on 28 (5), 2437-2447

Acknowledgements



- EPSRC Uk-China Interface and Network Infrastructure to Support EV Participation in Smart Grids
- EDF (student CASE awards)
- EPSRC Power Electronics Centre: Components theme and
- UK Government funding